A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man
Edgar Degas Inspired by Rembrandt

• JENNY REynaerts AND STELLA VERSLUIs-VAaN DONGEn

'I assure you no art was ever less spontaneous than mine. What I do is the result of reflection and study of the great masters; of inspiration, spontaneity, temperament — temperament is the word — I know nothing.'

Edgar Degas set out for Italy in July 1856. This study trip, prompted solely by his own desires, was to last for three years, an intense period in which he threw himself with a passion into the study of classical sculpture and the Italian paintings of the fourteenth, fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. He copied some of the art he saw into six notebooks: mostly rough sketches as an aide mémoire. He also practised by making detailed drawings of certain Italian paintings and sculptures he admired. Here Degas was following academic teaching methods, imitating the classics in order to emulate them, creating his own inventions based on the knowledge he had acquired. In his choice of works of art, too, he initially followed the academic canon by focusing primarily on fifteenth- and sixteenth-century Italian art.

But there in Italy, surprisingly, he also made a portrait and a series of etched and painted self-portraits inspired by those of the young Rembrandt (figs. 1 and 2). Like Rembrandt, Degas was about twenty-three years old and at the start of an illustrious career. Degas's interest in Rembrandt at this point in his life is intriguing, for Rembrandt was not part of the academic canon and certainly not an easy subject to study in Italy. Now, for the first time, Degas's study of Rembrandt is the focus of an exhibition. In this article we shall trace examples of this influence and situate his inspiration in the broader framework of the reception of Rembrandt in France around 1850. We shall also establish a relationship between Rembrandt's self-portraits and those of Degas that goes beyond anything previously proposed.

Rome as Training Ground
Hilaire Germain Edgar De Gas, the oldest son of a banker, was born in Paris on 19 July 1834. He obtained his baccalauréat in literature in 1853 and then, at his father's insistence, enrolled in the faculty of law at the University of Paris. Within the year, however, the urge to become a painter had grown too strong and he abandoned his course. In 1855 he registered at the École des Beaux-Arts, where he studied under Louis Lamothe (1822-1869). Lamothe himself had been taught by Hippolyte Flandrin (1809-1864) and Jean-Auguste-Dominique Ingres (1780-1869), both painters in the classical academic...
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Lamothe encouraged his
students to pay particular attention
to the work of Ingres, the greatest
portrait painter of the age. In his
earliest self-portrait Degas’s indebted-
ness to Ingres is evident in style,
expression and composition (figs. 3
and 4). Just as Ingres portrayed
himself as a well-to-do citizen, who
also happened to be a painter, so the
impression Degas created was that
of the banker’s son from the Parisian
upper middle classes. It is only on
closer inspection that one notices the
stick of charcoal in his hand.

Lamothe’s urging to study the old
masters was echoed by Degas’s father
Auguste, who had a small collection
of eighteenth century paintings of his
own. Degas went regularly to the
Louvre from an early age, and two years
before he enrolled at the École des
Beaux-Arts was granted permission to
study and copy in the print room, the
Cabinet des Estampes. His lifelong
interest in the old masters began here.

The prints he could see in the
Cabinet des Estampes were not the
only ones Degas was able to study;
he also had access to the private col-
lection of etchings that belonged to a
friend of his father’s, the Romanian
prince, landscape painter and engraver
Grégoire Soutzo (1818-1869). Away
from the print room, a private collec-
tion like this was one of the few ways
that critics and artists could get to see
original impressions. Soutzo’s collec-
tion contained work by all the artists
then regarded as great engravers, among
them Jacques Callot, Albrecht Dürer,
Claude Lorrain and Marcantonio
Raimondi, and a complete set of
Adriaan van Ostade prints, 142 in all.
The catalogue of the sale of his estate
in 1870 reveals that he owned 28 prints
by Rembrandt, including Abraham and
Isaac (B.43), Christ Disputing with the
Doctors (B.54), Portrait of Jan Lutma
(B.276), some landscapes, among them
The Three Trees (B.212), and three self-
portraits: Self-Portrait with Long Bushy
Hair: Head Only (B.8), Self-Portrait in
a Cap and Scarf with the Face Dark:
Bust (B.17) and Self-Portrait Leaning
on a Stone Sill (B.21). Degas was at
liberty to study Soutzo’s collection,
and he also learnt the basic principles
of etching from him, as some copies of
landscapes by Soutzo reveal.

Degas’s father Auguste de Gas was
born in Naples and had relatives living
in that city and in Florence. In the
summer of 1856 Degas decided to visit
them and stay in Italy for a prolonged
period. This meant that he quit the
École des Beaux Arts fairly soon after
he had enrolled and decided to shape
his own training. Earlier in the year, he
had a ‘grand conversation’ with Soutzo
about the difference in approach
between large-scale sketches from life
and the academic manner of building
a composition up from the details. In
his notebook he wrote: ‘What courage
there is in his [Soutzo’s] studies. It is
essential – one must never make bargains with nature. One certainly needs courage if one is to approach nature head-on in its grand planes and lines and it is cowardly to do it by means of facets and details. It is a struggle..." This note and his premature departure from the École des Beaux Arts suggest that Degas was questioning the academic style at an early stage.

Degas arrived in Naples by way of Marseille in July 1856. In October 1856, he left Naples for Rome, staying until late July 1857, when he returned to his relatives in Naples. He settled in Rome again in late October 1857, leaving for Florence in July of the following year, this time for a longer stay, and visiting Viterbo, Assisi, Perugia and Arezzo on the way. In March 1859 he returned to Paris via Genoa.

While he was in Rome he moved in the circle of artists who worked at the French Academy in the Villa Medici. Entirely in keeping with the tenor of his training so far, Degas studied life drawing there. At the same time, however, he also learnt to look beyond the confines of academic method. His encounters with the engraver Joseph-Gabriel Tourny (1817-1880) and the painter Gustave Moreau (1826-1898) were particularly important in this respect. He already knew Tourny from the École in Paris and probably met Moreau in January 1858, having heard about him from other artists studying at the academy. As Léon Bonnat, a fellow student at the Villa Medici, later declared: ‘we were all mad about Moreau’. This group also met to discuss art outside the academy, in the Caffè Greco.

Tourny was a watercolour specialist and engraver who had won the 1847 Prix de Rome for engraving. In the autumn of 1857, commissioned by the historian and politician Adolphe Louis Thiers (1797-1877), he copied frescoes in the Sistine Chapel for the Musée des Études that Thiers had set up in the École des Beaux Arts. At the same time he worked on original engravings to submit to the Salon in 1857. It is perhaps relevant to note in this respect that Thiers was also a great collector of prints and owned twenty-nine Rembrandt etchings that Tourny may well have seen. Tourny rekindled Degas’s interest in etching first fostered by Soutzo. Unfortunately nothing is known about any etchings that Tourny himself may have owned.

Amidst the many sketches and painstaking drawings of works of art in Italian museums and churches, Degas’s notebooks of this period contain two drawings after Rembrandt, a reversed sketch of the etching The Death of the Virgin (B.99) and a small drawing of Young Man in a Velvet Cap (B.268). He also made two separate drawings: one of the etching Three Oriental Figures (Jacob and Laban?) (B.118) and the other of the four illustrations Rembrandt made for Samuel Manassah Ben Israel’s book Piedra
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Gloriosa de la estatua de Nebuchadnesar (B.36), which was printed in Amsterdam in 1655. Both of Degas's drawings are now in private hands. It is also clear from a composition sketch for a group portrait that he drew inspiration from Rembrandt's Night Watch; he noted on it 'in the spirit and all the boldness of the night watch'. This idea might, though, have been jotted down soon after he returned to Paris. As well as the little drawing in the notebook he also made an etched copy, the same size but reversed and on a larger plate, of the etching Young Man in a Velvet Cap (figs. 5 and 6).
Rembrandt’s *Young Man in a Velvet Cap* was neither in Soutzo’s collection nor in the first fully-illustrated overview of Rembrandt’s etchings published by the art critic Charles Blanc in separate volumes between 1853 and 1858. The other etchings were not in the Soutzo Collection either, but all three were...
illustrated in Blanc 1853-58. This raises the question as to where Degas could have copied the Young Man in a Velvet Cap in Rome. One possibility is Prince Corsini’s print collection in the palazzo of the same name, which held all these Rembrandt etchings save for the Piedra Gloriosa illustrations. Degas went there on 13 November 1857 to study some prints by Claude Lorrain and would consequently have had the opportunity to copy the Young Man in a Velvet Cap then. The copy and the works based on it are indeed dated to the winter of 1857 in the literature.
One of the works related to Young Man in a Velvet Cap is the etched portrait of Tourny (fig. 7). At the same time this portrait clearly refers to Rembrandt’s Self-Portrait Drawing at a Window (B.22), although the artist is actually pictured etching, not drawing (fig. 8).

The portrait of the engraver Tourny can almost be interpreted as a portrait historié: Degas incorporated Tourny’s admiration for Rembrandt in his likeness. The velvet beret was Rembrandt’s permanent attribute and he wears it in the Self-Portrait Leaning on a Stone Sill (B.21), which is in the Corsini Collection and was already familiar to Degas from Soutzo’s collection, where it is catalogued as Rembrandt appuyée.

At right angles to the portrait Degas etched two heads of cardinals. These could have been made after a work by Tourny, for example Deux moines se signant (Musée du Louvre, inv. no. RF 3985, recto). It is unlikely that Degas really intended this plate as a study sheet; here again this is a deliberately Rembrandtesque trope. A sheet of sketches with a self-portrait (B.363) was also in the Corsini Collection (fig. 9).

There are several known states of the Portrait of Tourny. The first was almost certainly made in Rome in 1857, since the scratches that can be seen on later impressions are absent here. The cropping differs from that of the two Rembrandt prints; it is a three-quarter portrait and more akin to Ingres’s style of portraiture. In later states the bottom half was blurred so that it
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became a half-length portrait in line with the original Rembrandt examples (fig. 10). In the 1860s Degas reworked the plate with ink that he only partially wiped off so as to create the suggestion of drypoint and obtain stronger chiaroscuro, just as Rembrandt had emphasized the contrast of light and shade in the later states of Self-Portrait Drawing at a Window (fig. 11).
Knowledge of Rembrandt

Rembrandt was certainly not unknown to Degas when he went to Italy. As well as the etchings in Soutzé’s collection, he had had a chance to see Rembrandt’s paintings in the Louvre. Sixteen works were hanging there at that time, including four self-portraits and some biblical paintings, among them *The Holy Family (The Household of the Carpenter)*, *The Angel Raphael Leaving Tobit and his Family*, *The Good Samaritan* and *Matthew the Evangelist.*

In 1857, while Degas was in Italy, the museum acquired *The Slaughtered Ox.* The Louvre also had a large collection of Rembrandt drawings, but only a few were on display at any one time. In the 1850s they were *Kneeling Man, Seated Woman* and four studies of lions.

The students at the École des Beaux Arts were invariably sent to the print room, the Cabinet des Estampes. Degas started to go there as early as 1853. The Cabinet des Estampes had a huge collection of reproductive prints. Original prints could be seen in small exhibitions or upon request. Around 1850 the print room had some 1,400 impressions of Rembrandt prints.

A small number were on permanent display: in 1853 there were eighteen of them. Most were biblical scenes (*Christ Healing the Sick* [‘The Hundred Guilder Print’] (b.74), *Christ Preaching* (b.67), *The Raising of Lazarus: the Larger Plate* (b.73), *The Good Samaritan* (b.90), *Christ Presented to the People* (b.76), *The Descent from the Cross by Torchlight* (b.83), a number of portraits (Lutma, Coppenol, Tolling, Jan Asselijn and Jan Six), and *Self-Portrait with Plumed Cap and Sabre* (b.23). There were also a few landscapes, which were of particular interest since artists belonging to the Barbizon School had focused attention on them. Nudes, pictures of common human types and genre heads were not exhibited; visitors to the print room were presented with an expurgated Rembrandt. Rembrandt etchings were also decidedly in the minority: reproductive prints after the French and Italian masters, above all Raphael, dominated the galleries.

Although it is clear that Degas had plenty of access to Rembrandt’s paintings and etchings alike in the years between 1853 and 1856, there is nothing to suggest that he felt particularly attracted to this work at this early stage of his career as an artist. We know of no copies dating from this period, and the academy would certainly not have encouraged its students to take an interest in Rembrandt, whose sketchy drawing ran entirely counter to the established style – Raphael’s ideal line.

There are likewise no indications that Degas went to any other print rooms during his Italian trip, apart from the one in the Palazzo Corsini in Rome. He did not, for example, visit those in the Biblioteca Vaticana or the Uffizi and the Biblioteca Marciana in Florence, which held almost two hundred sheets by Rembrandt, including impressions of some prints copied by Degas. De Académie de France in Rome did not have a copy of Blanc’s *L’Oeuvre de Rembrandt* of 1853-58, but of course we cannot rule out the possibility that one of his friends there owned the work, even though it was very expensive. At that time there were no other photographic reproductions of Rembrandt’s work apart from Blanc’s publication. There is also a final possibility that must not be overlooked; impressions of all these prints were still in circulation in this period, so it is quite conceivable that one of Degas’s friends – Tourny or Bonnat, for instance – owned the prints. The copper plates of some of the etchings under discussion were collected; the plate of *Young Man with Velvet Beret*, for example, was owned by the English publisher J.M. Creery and still used for prints.

Degas’s sudden interest in Rembrandt in 1857 seems to have been sparked by debates in the circle around Tourny
and Gustave Moreau. They opened his eyes to heroes who were quite different from those he was used to. Tourny loved the Venetians and Velázquez, and had copied their work for the Thiers Collection.52 Degas’s copies of reproductions of Velázquez’s Las Meninas are a sign of the new direction he was taking.53

He probably met Moreau in January 1858 in the Villa Medici.54 After receiving some bruising reviews of his work at the 1855 World’s Fair, Moreau had decided to escape the cultural milieu of Paris. He idolized the French Romantic painters Théodore Chassériau (1819-1856) and Eugène Delacroix (1798-1863) and was drawn to Italy by the genius of Michelangelo and Leonardo.55

Degas had obviously seen Delacroix’s work in Paris, but in the period before his Italian trip, as we have seen, it was Ingres whom he most admired. There was no work by Delacroix in Italy, any more than by Velázquez, but almost immediately after his return to Paris in April 1859, Degas embarked on a number of copies of Delacroix’s paintings in that year’s Salon, in Versailles and in the Louvre.56 In the collection he built up later, mostly between 1890 and 1904, Delacroix’s work features prominently.57

It was almost inevitable that a group of artists who admired Delacroix would also appreciate Rembrandt, given Delacroix’s lifelong interest in the seventeenth-century master. Both Chassériau and Delacroix made sketches after Rembrandt’s Death of the Virgin.58 The work that inspired Léon Bonnat to amass his large collecting of drawings, now shared between the Musée Bonnat, Bayonne, and the Louvre, was a drawing by Rembrandt that he got from the collector Aimé-Charles-Horace His de la Salle (1795-1878). Bonnat became a major authority on the artist and went to the Netherlands in 1872 and 1883.59 Moreau also knew and admired Rembrandt’s work, although this did not really emerge until he wrote a scorching but unpublished defence in response to Eugène Fromentin’s rather grudging opinion of Rembrandt’s Night Watch in Les Maîtres d’Autrefois (1876).60 In 1888 Moreau, too, visited Holland.61

Rembrandt as Role Model
French artists’ interest in Rembrandt had grown since the start of the nineteenth century, reaching its peak in the 1850 to 1886 period. This was due in no small measure to the greater access to the oeuvre through catalogues, biographies and reproductions of prints.62 Blanc’s 1853 publication was the first illustrated catalogue of Rembrandt’s etchings; he used prints from glass negatives.63 Prior to this he had devoted a volume of his major series Histoire des peintres des toutes les écoles (1849) to the Dutch school. He described Dutch painting as independent, domestic, religious and truthful – qualities he considered were possessed by the Dutch nation as a whole. Rembrandt’s œuvre embraced all this, but the artist was outstanding above all in the ideal expression conveyed by his characteristic chiaroscuro. Rembrandt thus became an idealist. Blanc, who was Director of the Department of Fine Arts at the Ministry of the Interior from 1848 until 1852, was compelled to employ this somewhat contrived interpretation so that Rembrandt did not fall outside the official standards for French painting.64

Despite this attempt to absorb him into the academic world, the picture of Rembrandt that existed in French art literature of around 1850 was that of a non-conformist, self-taught artist whose chief subject was human nature, expressed in a realistic style. It was, moreover, generally assumed that Rembrandt’s work was a mirror of the Dutch society of his day, just like the rest of Dutch seventeenth-century painting.65 People were convinced that
Rembrandt came from the same social class as the types he drew, even though Dutch authors like the archivist Pieter Scheltema and later the critic Carel Vosmaer demonstrated that this was not the case. Creating this image served a specific purpose. It made Rembrandt the figurehead for artists who rejected the establishment and the prevailing doctrine of the École and the Académie. They projected their own resistance onto Rembrandt — for although he appeared in Delaroche’s famous Hémicycle in the art room at the École des Beaux Arts (1841), students were certainly not encouraged to imitate him.

The admiration of Rembrandt led to attempts both to fit him into the academic norm and to place him above it. Charles Baudelaire’s review of the Salon in 1846, in which he tried to place Rembrandt on a par with Raphael by making him a ‘sturdy idealist’, is symptomatic of this rather equivocal attitude. ‘Raphael, for all his purity, is but an early spirit ceaselessly investigating the solid; but that scoundrel Rembrandt is a sturdy idealist who makes us dream and guess at what lies beyond. The first composes creatures in a pristine and virgin state — Adam and Eve; but the second shakes his rags before our eyes and tells us of human sufferings.’ Baudelaire gave Rembrandt a unique position amongst all the great masters: ‘Each one of the old masters has his kingdom, his prerogative, which he is often constrained to share with illustrious rivals. Thus Raphael has form, Rubens and Veronese colour, Rubens and Michelangelo the “graphic imagination”. There remained one province of the empire in which Rembrandt alone had carried out a few raids; I mean drama, natural and living drama, the drama of terror and melancholy, expressed often through colour, but always through gesture.

Eugène Delacroix dared to go even further, and placed Rembrandt above Raphael, although he shocked himself by saying so. ‘Perhaps they will discover that Rembrandt is a far greater painter than Raphael. This piece of blasphemy will make every good academician’s hair stand on end, and I set it down without having come to any final decision on the subject. The older I grow, the more certain I become in my own mind that truth is the rarest and most beautiful of all qualities. It is possible, however, that Rembrandt may not quite have had Raphael’s nobility of mind.’

For Baudelaire and Delacroix, and for Thoré-Bürger in his Musées de la Hollande (1860) Rembrandt offered the only way towards a modern art — l’art de l’homme’, as Thoré called it, the art of man(kind).

States and Series
Artists and critics at the time esteemed Rembrandt for his paintings, of course, but perhaps even more for his prints. For one thing, they were more accessible. People also felt that the etchings showed Rembrandt’s true original genius. Critics and artists were convinced that the artist had taught himself to etch and that he had elevated the use of various techniques, states and types of paper to a fine art. Charles Blanc also regarded Rembrandt as the greatest printmaker of all time and placed him above Albrecht Dürer and Marcantonio Raimondi, who owed his fame chiefly to his engravings after Raphael. Because of his paintings Rembrandt was extolled in the publications of Blanc and others as a peintre-graveur, an all-rounder.

Rembrandt’s sketchy line and the chiaroscuro and contre-jour effects were much admired from the middle of the century onwards, initially by the artists of the Barbizon School. This reappraisal extended to other seventeenth-century Dutch artists, too — Adriaan van Ostade’s etchings were published at this time. As we have seen, Soutzo had a complete set of...
Van Ostade etchings in his collection, which positions it squarely in its day.

At first the fact that Rembrandt sold the separate states of his etchings was attributed to his penny-pinching merchant’s mentality, but around the middle of the nineteenth century people started to believe that Rembrandt regarded each state as a work in its own right. This practice must have come as a surprising revelation to artists trained at the academy, where a work of art was not finished until it had reached the highest state of perfection. For a long time most collections showed only one state, usually the first.\textsuperscript{75}

Degas’s fascination with Rembrandt’s prints echoed that of his contemporaries. As well as the Portrait of Tourny he also etched a self-portrait in similar vein. It was probably made in Tourny’s studio in the winter of 1857.\textsuperscript{76} In terms of composition, the portrait is still very reminiscent of the Self-Portrait with Charcoal in the Musée d’Orsay (fig. 3): Degas pictured himself in dark city dress, hatted, and with the same rather timid look as in the early portrait. The cropping, too, is still conservative; this is another three-quarter length work.

Despite these similarities, the effect is quite different from the Self-Portrait with Charcoal. By keeping his face partially in the shadow of the hat, Degas gave the portrait an expressive force that the rather ingenuous portrait in the Musée D’Orsay lacks. As with the Portrait of Tourny, Degas made several states of this etching, experimenting with the shadow in the background and the contrasts of light and dark in the clothes. The first state is very light, with delicate, open hatching (fig. 12). In the second state he used denser cross-hatching to capture the texture of the dark jacket and hat. In later impressions made in the 1860s the hat is even darker, so that the contrast with the white collar and the highlights on the face is strengthened.

To achieve this he rubbed ink into the etching ground and then wiped some of it off, as he had in the Portrait of Tourny.\textsuperscript{77} His fingerprints are still visible. Because the plate had corroded slightly in the meantime, a Rembrandtesque effect occurred almost of its own accord (fig. 13). In the first state Degas holds a piece of charcoal; a stack of paper lies before him. This again references the self-portrait in the Musée d’Orsay but it also acknowledges Rembrandt’s Self-Portrait Drawing at a Window (fig. 8). In the second state the hands have been darkened and the charcoal and paper have been blurred almost to the point of invisibility. In the later states they cannot be seen at

\textsuperscript{75} Rembrandt’s Self-Portrait, 1857. Etching, 230 x 144 mm, first state.

\textsuperscript{76} New York, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, purchased from the Mr and Mrs Richard J. Bernard Gift, 1972.625. © The Metropolitan Museum of Art/Art Resource/Scala Florence.
all. Degas probably felt that the hands were not a success; he did not show them in the other self-portraits he made during this period.

Degas was happy with this etching and gave various states of the print to members of his family and to friends, unlike all the other drawn and painted self-portraits, which only came to light at the sale of the contents of his studio after his death. A great many of these self-portraits were done in Italy. They are Degas’s first series, an approach typical of the whole of his oeuvre, be it jockeys, milliners, dancers or nudes – he explored a subject to the utmost before letting it go. ‘Art does not expand, it repeats itself,’ he wrote in 1872. In Italy he drew and painted himself because he was his own most readily available model. Like the different states of the etched self-portrait, the painted portraits can be seen as a series, and the inspiration – again – seems to have been Rembrandt.

**Light and shade**

In two self-portraits, one with a hat, and a handkerchief knotted around his neck, and one just with a hat (figs. 1 and 14), there is an unmistakable resemblance to Rembrandt’s self-portraits as a young man (figs. 2, 15 and 19). Pose, expression and lighting effects are the same. In the self-portrait with a hat, he has played with the shadow on the face just as Rembrandt did. One striking difference is that whereas Rembrandt conceals his eyes and invites the viewer to find them, Degas commands the viewer’s attention with his piercing gaze. In 1855 or 1856, probably not long before he set off for Italy, Degas painted a self-portrait wearing a painter’s smock (fig. 16). Here the young man of the self-portrait in the Musée d’Orsay is clearly recognizable, particularly in his rather distant look. But while the face in the *Self-Portrait with Charcoal* is fully lit and consequently rather flat, the dramatic lighting in the Metropolitan portrait creates tension and depth. The background is conventionally dark, but with the strong contrast of light and shade on the face the portrait is far removed from the aesthetic of Ingres. The shadow focuses attention on a single eye, whereas Degas usually emphasized both his ‘Neapolitan’ eyes.

Degas knew Rembrandt’s self-portraits in the Louvre, two of which show Rembrandt as a young man (fig. 17). The sources do not reveal whether he saw Rembrandt’s painted self-portrait which is now in the Uffizi, but was at that time in the collection of Ferdinand I1 de Medici in Florence. In any event he was
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familiar with the etched self-portraits, for instance the three in Soutzo’s collection: Self-Portrait with Long Bushy Hair, 1629-32 (fig. 18), Self-Portrait in a Cap and Scarf, 1633 (fig. 19) and Self-Portrait Leaning on a Stone Sill, 1639. Four self-portraits and the sheet of sketches with a self-portrait (b.363) (fig. 9) were reproduced in Charles Blanc’s L’Oeuvre de Rembrandt (1853). These prints, in which Rembrandt experimented with expressions and costumes, seem to have inspired Degas to make his series of portraits with the primary aim of studying the effect of light and shade on the face. He also varied his pose, as the self-portraits in Washington and in a private collection demonstrate (figs. 20 and 21). Degas made around forty self-portraits altogether between 1854 and 1862 – in oils, drawn in pencil, in red or black chalk or etched. Unlike Rembrandt, he did not go on doing this all his life. Save for three self-portraits in the 1860s, it was not until around 1895 that he again portrayed himself – but now in photographs. The series of early portraits reveals an uncertain, questing artist. There is no bravura – nor even self-confidence. Remarks in his notebooks and correspondence in these years confirm this image. He wrote to Moreau about his loneliness and self-doubt: ‘Still about me. But what else could a man say, as lonely and abandoned as I am? He has but himself before him, he only sees himself, only thinks about himself. He is a great egoist.’

**Colour and Infini**
Degas’s exploration of ‘anti-academic’ artists caused Auguste de Gas some concern. He saw in it the malign influence of Tourny and Moreau. All the same, he saw improvement too: after receiving the painting of Dante
and Virgil he noted: ‘... your drawing is strong, the tone of the colour is correct. You have rid yourself of that flabby, humdrum Flandrinesque, Lamothesque drawing, and that drab grey colour.’ Degas’s interest in Rembrandt was also grudgingly approved: ‘I can understand that you should consult Rembrandt; he is a painter who astounds one by the depth he is able to achieve, and his palette is not without beauty ...’

The move away from the academic linear style towards a freer brushstroke with greater emphasis on colour that Auguste observed can also be seen in the series of self-portraits. The Self-Portrait of late 1855-early 1856 (fig. 16) in a painter's smock is painted smoothly and in immense detail, in line with the academic doctrine of the fini, in which the brushstroke should not be seen. A red chalk drawing (fig. 22), which may have served as a preliminary study, is minutely drawn, unfinished and with the focus on the eyes. The later self-portraits have a very different feel and their incom-
pleteness is of a different kind. Degas had ceased to pursue the finish of the painting to the extreme, and dared to stop at the suggestion.

In the Self-Portrait en face (fig. 21) the painter’s smock is indicated with just a few brushstrokes. The white of the ground and of the illuminated part of the face are in strong contrast to the shadow and the dark background. In all the self-portraits Degas experimented with shadow and thus with the legibility of the image. The academic requirement of clarity in line and colour made way for the visible brushstroke and powerful chiaroscuro.

Delacroix contrasted the drawn, detailed style of French painting to the ‘heureuses négligences’ – ‘happy carelessness’ – in the work of Rembrandt, ‘for whom this choice is almost as much a matter of calculation as of instinct, and the tip of whose mercurial brush does not force itself to produce more than a superficial rendering, even in essential passages’. In his Italian self-portraits Degas abandoned the smooth, detailed French style. The infinito gave him new freedom and was characteristic of his career as a mature artist.

**Onward with Rembrandt**

Degas was not the only one to be fascinated by Rembrandt; in the 1850s and 1860s other artists in his circle, among them Félix Bracquemond, Alphonse Legros and James McNeill Whistler, also produced etchings inspired by Rembrandt (fig. 23). Etching, moreover, was a mild form of anti-academic resistance. At the École des Beaux Arts the technique was regarded as inferior to engraving, which was seen as a more suitable reproductive medium. Etching was not taught there. It was, however, fairly easy to teach oneself: Degas learnt it from Souzou and later from Tourny. Bracquemond taught himself and was consulted by Degas and Manet.

In turn, Degas helped Pissarro when he caught the etching bug in the 1870s, both of them encouraged by Rembrandt’s example.

Likewise emulating Rembrandt, these artists preferred to make works that stood up in their own right.
Etching lent itself to this; the soft etching ground allowed far more licence for the artist’s own handwriting than the laborious technique of engraving. In 1862 Degas, Braquemond and Legros were all involved in setting up the Société des Aquafortistes, where original etchings were shown. Degas’s trials in the 1860s with the Self-Portrait and the Portrait of Tourny – rubbing ink into the plate and partially wiping it off – are typical of the experiments being conducted by members of the Société.96 Here again Rembrandt was constantly used as an example.97 In fact, a painted self portrait of Henri Fantin-Latour (1836-1904) made around 1858 was executed in almost graphic chiaroscuro.98 This shared interest in Rembrandt was presumably one of the factors that later led Degas to remark: ‘When we started, Fantin, Whistler and I, we were on the same path, the Road to Holland.’99

Fig 24
EDGAR DEGAS,
Portrait of Thérèse
and Edmondo
Morbilli, c. 1865.
Oil on canvas,
116.5 x 88.3 cm.
Boston, Museum
of Fine Arts, gift of
Robert Treat Paine
2nd, 31.33.
The brief encounter between Degas and Rembrandt must, however, be seen in perspective. Degas copied frequently in this period and compiled a huge eclectic visual memory in his notebooks. Every artist he copied fulfilled a role of his own and it is difficult to identify a persistent preference: 'Van Dyck is a great artist, Giorgione also, Botticelli also, Mantegna also, Rembrandt also, Carpaccio also,' he wrote to Moreau in 1858. After he returned from Italy the art of the old masters remained a leitmotif in his oeuvre, but the effect of anti-academic art gradually took over as he got to know Manet and other modern painters.

Degas's fascination with Rembrandt sprung initially from an act of rebellion and the young artist's search for maturity. But there was more to it than that: his interest in printmaking remained with him throughout his life. Etching was followed by experiments with monotype, lithography and photography. Rembrandt was always in his thoughts, witness his comment, 'If Rembrandt had encountered lithography, God knows what he would have done with it.' In the art collection he compiled later, Rembrandt was represented by an almost complete edition of Charles Blanc's L’oeuvre de Rembrandt of 1880, which contained 353 facsimiles of the etched œuvre.

Degas's penchant for series may have been sparked off by Rembrandt's many self-portraits and his use of etched states. Degas's self-portraits are the first example of a series in his oeuvre, followed by later series that include his painted and sculpted dancers and nudes. Exploring a single subject in depth became a constant feature of his work. In his self-portraits he investigated the handling of light and shade, the aspect of Rembrandt's work regarded at the time as most typical of him. Another change in his painting style that appeared for the first time in his self-portraits was the use of the infinito. In deciding to leave something more or less unfinished, he moved away from the painting style he had learned at the academy and opened up to the suggestion of the unseen that is so characteristic of his later work.

More than a youthful infatuation, the influence Rembrandt had on Degas seems to have been more lasting and profound. Glimpses of his abiding admiration for the Dutch master may be caught in the reference he makes to Rembrandt's etching Self-Portrait with Saskia (1636; B.19) in the double-portrait of Degas's sister and brother-in-law Thérèse and Edmondo Morbelli (figs. 24 and 25) and in his quotation from Rembrandt's Negress Lying Down in his monotype of a reclining nude. And it is tempting to speculate on the even larger impact Rembrandt may have had on Degas when we consider, for example, the Louvre's 1869 acquisition of Rembrandt's Bathsheba at her Bath (1654) and the man who created such magnificent suites of nudes.
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