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François Gérard Waller (1867-1934) was a passionate collector and considerable expert on prints. His interests went beyond traditional printmaking to encompass more peripheral areas such as popular prints and decorated paper. He gifted much of his collection to the Rijksmuseum during his lifetime. His greatest gift, though, was the fund that bears his name, in which he placed his bequest on condition that the Rijksmuseum’s Print Room should draw on it to make purchases every year. This has been happening since 1938. This spring the Rijksmuseum will show a selection of the finest acquisitions to honour this extraordinary benefactor and highlight the eclectism of the print collection. Some of the most remarkable recent purchases are discussed in the following pages.

1 Martin Schongauer (Colmar 1430-1491 Colmar)
Crucifixion with Four Angels, c. 1485-90
Engraving, 290 x 195 mm
Monogram, at lower centre: M+S
Watermark: Head in profile (L. 72)

Earlier this year the Rijksmuseum acquired a superb impression of the Crucifixion with Four Angels, an important work by Martin Schongauer, the greatest engraver of the late Middle Ages. With his refined technique and extremely original inventions, the artist took the copper engraving as an art form in its own right to a higher level, becoming the great example for a new generation of printmakers, including Albrecht Dürer (1471-1528). Giorgio Vasari was already praising the quality and influence of Schongauer’s Crucifixion in his Lives of the Artists (1568).

The composition was inspired by Crucifixions by Flemish artists like Rogier van der Weyden and Hans Memling. The frail, human figure of Christ and his mother are reminiscent of those in Rogier’s triptych in Vienna and the motif of the four flying angels probably harks back to it, too. As an original designer and printmaker, Schongauer reworked his Flemish examples into a composition that was entirely his own invention. The crucified Christ towers high above Mary, John and the panoramic coastal landscape in the background. The angels, swathed in complicated, angular draperies, stand out against the white paper as though they were sculpted. They hold chalices to catch the blood from the Saviour’s wounded body. This act places the composition
Schongauer’s influence on the following generation of printmakers, and in particular on Dürer, can be illustrated with many examples (see for instance Lothar Schmidt, ‘Dürer and Schongauer’, in Daniel Hess and Thomas Eser (eds.), The Early Dürer, exh. cat. Nuremberg (Germanisches Museum) 2012, pp. 312-24, nos. 35-45). However, the Crucifixion with Four Angels is rarely mentioned in this connection. Nonetheless it must have been an important source of inspiration for the young Dürer, as can be seen from the Crucifixion in his woodcut the Large Passion (c. 1498). Fifteen years later, he again harked back to Schongauer’s example for his monumental indulgence print, the Crucifixion with Three Angels (c. 1513; fig.).

**F**
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**Albrecht Dürer,**

*Crucifixion with Three Angels*, c. 1513.

Woodcut with text in letterpress, 567 x 419 mm.

Amsterdam, Rijksmuseum, inv. no. RP-P-OB-1372.
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These little birds were engraved by Master pw of Cologne. It is an ominous sign when an artist is indicated by his initials. We do not know his name and can only infer biographical details from the surviving oeuvre. Of the ninety-seven prints that can be attributed to Master pw of Cologne, seventy-two are playing cards. The words ‘Salve Felix Colonia’ (Hail Happy Cologne) adorn the first playing card and inscriptions on other cards are written in the Cologne dialect. Aside from religious scenes, the other prints in this oeuvre are of episodes from the Swabian or Swiss War of 1499. Here the original and correct depiction of the Southern German and Swiss regions indicate that the artist had first-hand knowledge of them. The place names are sometimes written in Swiss dialect (and sometimes in Cologne). This is why there has been much discussion about the artist’s origins, whether from these southern regions or from around Cologne. It was probably Cologne.

The deck our card comes from is unique. Not only are all of the cards round, but the deck consists of five suits instead of four: roses, columbines, pinks, parrots and hares. Each suit begins with four face cards, a king, queen, and two knaves, followed by number cards from 10 to 1. The deck begins with an opening card (the one with ‘Salve Felix Colonia’ on it) and ends with a card on which a naked young woman is surprised by Death. We do not know if the deck was ever used or how the game using it was played.

In his playing card with nine parakeets, number 9 in the suit, Master pw of Cologne used their long tails to arrange them in an almost geometric pattern, ensuring that the connection was not too rigid and dull by allowing playful anomalies. The lively way he depicted them is especially striking. Each bird does something different: one scratches its head, another bends over inquisitively, the bird in the middle lifts its left leg as if it is accepting a nut or a piece of fruit. There can be no doubt that the printmaker observed the birds from life, and evidently with much pleasure. This is remarkable as the parakeet is not a domestic bird. They were imported from India. Until the late Middle Ages, the rose-ringed parakeet was pretty much the only parrot kept in Europe, primarily by secular and ecclesiastical rulers. One of the earliest documented owners was Alexander the Great, who around 327 BCE brought back a green parakeet from his campaign in the Punjab. The Alexandrine parakeet (Psittacula eupatria), closely related to the rose-ringed parakeet (Psittacula krameri), is named after him.

Master pw of Cologne’s birds are definitely rose-ringed parakeets. The fact that he was able to observe them from life suggests that he moved in court circles. Nowadays his deck of cards is extremely rare. This playing card has survived in outstanding condition. We only know of three other impressions: in Bologna, Dresden and London.
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PROVENANCE:
…; print dealer Xavier Seydoux, Paris; from whom purchased by the museum with the support of the F.G. Waller-Fonds, 2018
(inv. no. RP-P-2018-1524-1).
Attributed to the workshop of Erhard Reuwich (Utrecht, active in Mainz c. 1480-90)

New Year’s Card with the Christ Child Holding a Globe, c. 1480-90

Woodcut, hand-coloured, 77 x 56 mm
Inscribed, in the banderol: Vil · güt-er · såliger · Jar

In the fifteenth century the relatively new technique of the woodcut was used to produce many sorts of art that had their origins in miniature painting, such as scenes for private devotion and made as gifts for family and other connections (see Kathryn M. Rudy, Postcards on Parchment: The Social Lives of Medieval Books, New Haven/London 2015). However the New Year’s card is a phenomenon that only made its appearance with the emergence of printmaking, when it became possible to produce images in large editions and distribute them (see Paul Heitz, Neujahrwünsche des xv Jahrhunderts, Strasbourg 1899). The exchange of printed New Year’s cards was a popular practice that has stood the test of time. It is hardly surprising that early examples are very rare – after all who saves all the New Year’s cards they have ever received?

Until recently we only knew of one impression from this woodcut, in which a half-naked, roguish-looking Christ child with a globe in his hand wishes the receiver a happy and blessed New Year (St Peter, Salzburg). Not long ago two other examples surfaced: this impression at a sale in Berlin and another in New York (Christie’s, 29 January 2019, no. 1). The colouring of the three impressions in red, blue and green and their red and blue frame is very similar and they appear to have been made in the same workshop. Wilhelm Schreiber linked the impression in Salzburg to the Master of the Housebook. In the Rijksmuseum’s Print Room there is a group of eighty drypoint prints by this artist, who was active in the Rhineland c. 1470-1500. He is therefore also known as the Master of the Amsterdam Cabinet. It has been suggested that the anonymous master was Erhard Reuwich, an artist from Utrecht who worked in Mainz around 1480-90. Reuwich designed the spectacular woodcuts in Bernard von Breydenbach’s travelogue Peregrinatio in Terram Sanctum (1486). Until recently the attribution of the drypoint prints in the Print Room to Erhard Reuwich, best known as a designer of woodcuts, was not very convincing. That said, there are striking similarities between the works by Reuwich and those by the Master of the Amsterdam Cabinet, such as their liking for scenes with naked children at play. One explanation for this may be that both artists were trained in Utrecht. Naked children are a recurring motif in miniature paintings made in Utrecht (cf. Boudewijn Bakker, ‘Bernhard von Breydenbach and Erhard Reuwich of Utrecht: Pioneers in the Theory and Practice of the Lifelike Printed Image’, Simiolus 40 (2018), no. 4, pp. 231-57; esp. pp. 240-41, figs. 11, 12). The endearing Christ child on the New Year’s card bears remarkable similarities to the happy children playing on the title page of Breydenbach’s Peregrinatio. The rendering of their faces with their mischievous looks is almost identical in both woodcuts. The same applies to the refined carving of their chubby bodies. Sufficient reason to propose an attribution of the New Year’s card to Reuwich and his workshop.
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PROVENANCE:
...; sale, Berlin (Galerie Bassenge), 22 December 2016, no. 5080; to the museum with the support of the F.G. Waller-Fonds, 2017 (inv. no. RP-P-2017-858-2).
Jacopo de’Barbari was active as a painter around 1500, but he also made engravings from his own designs. He can be regarded as the Italian equal of his contemporaries Albrecht Dürer (1471-1528) and Lucas van Leyden (c. 1494-1533). He was born in Venice, where from 1497 he supervised the execution of a gigantic woodcut of a bird’s-eye view of the city, printed from six woodblocks (cf. RP-P-OB-76.772). In 1500 he went to Nuremberg to become a portrait and miniature painter in the service of Emperor Maximilian I. While there he also kept in touch with Dürer, whom he may have met in Venice around 1495. Three years later he moved to Wittenberg, where he worked as a court painter for Frederick III (the Wise), the Elector of Saxony. In 1507 he went to Mecklenburg, in the service of Duke Henry V (the Peaceful), and then worked for Joachim I of Brandenburg. Eventually Jacopo ended up in the Low Countries. From 1508 Philip the Fair of Burgundy was his patron, with a residence in Souburgh, on the island of Walcheren, where Jacopo worked with Jan Gossaert (c. 1472-1532) on the decoration of the castle. From 1510 until his death, he was in the employ of Margaret of Austria, governess of the Habsburg Netherlands, who had her seat in Mechelen.

As well as three woodcuts, Jacopo de’Barbari made twenty-nine engravings, almost all of which bear his mark, a caduceus, but none of them is dated. Over the course of time Victory Reclining Amid Trophies has been variously dated, but it is certainly one of Jacopo’s earlier prints, made around 1500-02 in Nuremberg. A winged goddess of victory amid trophies of war is not an unusual subject in Renaissance art, but as a rule she is shown standing, or possibly sitting. The fact that she is portrayed reclining here, as if she is resting after the battle, is exceptional. Her pose is frequently linked to that of the female nude in Albrecht Dürer’s Sea Monster (RP-P-OB-1234), although it remains uncertain who may have influenced whom – if at all. There has also been an attempt to link the subject to one of Emperor Maximilian I’s military victories, without finding a convincing candidate.

The impression is rare and in superb condition. Interestingly, there is an Abklatsch (offset) of Jacopo de’Barbari’s Triton with the Nereid (cf. RP-P-OB-1865) on the back. A faint, unintended impression like this can arise if a subsequent impression is placed on top of a freshly printed sheet before the ink is dry. It is evidence that the prints were made one soon after the other. The Victory Reclining and the Triton with the Nereid are the same size, which could mean that they were engraved on the front and back of the same copper plate. This has long been thought to be true of Jacopo’s Apollo and Diana and The Three Prisoners as well as seven other pairs of prints with the same measurements, but this Victory and the Triton have not previously been linked in this way.

**LITERATURE:**
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Jay A. Levenson, Jacopo de’Barbari and Northern Art of the Early Sixteenth Century, Ann Arbor 1978, pp. 238-41, no. 29

**PROVENANCE:**
...; sale, London (Sotheby’s), 27 September 2016, no. 80, to the museum with the support of the F.G. Waller-Fonds, 2016 (inv. no. RP-P-2016-1495).
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The artist Andrea Schiavone introduced the painterly print to Venice, probably around 1540-41, and the art form would boom in the city. His great example, in terms of his elegant figure style as well as his loose way of drawing and printmaking, was the genius Parmigianino (1503-1540). Schiavone’s prints are markedly experimental. He scratched designs straight into the copper (drypoint) and often left a thin film of ink (plate tone) on the plate when printing it to achieve a pictorial effect. His prints frequently look messy because of imperfections in and frequent reworking of the plates. These ‘accidental’ effects also contribute to their spontaneous character. Schiavone’s free drawing style and artless printmaking technique, both expressions of a deliberate style idiom, were well received by artists and connoisseurs alike. This resulted in a considerable oeuvre of more than 133 prints. Only a few of them are in the Rijksmuseum’s Print Room. The new acquisition is one of Schiavone’s most successful and inventive sheets. It is an exceptionally fine example originating from the illustrious collection of the princes of Waldburg-Wolfegg.

Christ is shown standing at the bottom of a staircase addressing six women. Three of them sit listening attentively. One of the three standing women clasps her hands and another has her arms outstretched. The two men lower right are probably disciples. In drawing and figure style the scene is close to Parmigianino’s. The composition, however, shows the influence of the great Venetian master Titian (1488-1576). The figures around and on the stairs and in the doorway were inspired by the monumental painting The Presentation of the Virgin at the Temple which Titian completed in 1534-39, now in the Galleria dell’Accademia. The subject of Schiavone’s etching has yet to be convincingly explained. In recent oeuvre catalogues the standing woman with the outstretched arms is identified as the mother who begged Christ to heal her possessed daughter (Matthew 15:21-28). However, there is no trace of a frenzied daughter. The women listening attentively seem to point more to another Bible story – Mary and Martha (Luke 10:38-42). Martha had invited Christ into her house and waited on him. Her sister Mary sat at Christ’s feet and listened to what he said. Martha scolded Christ for allowing her sister to sit quietly and leaving the work to her. Christ answered that she would be better to take Mary’s example as she had made the right choice by listening to him. The woman with outstretched arms on the stairs could well portray Martha as she reproaches her sister and Christ. The gesture Christ makes can be interpreted as speaking and as a blessing – both fit the story. Illustrations of Christ with Mary and Martha are usually situated in an interior. The fact that Schiavone adapted the story in a composition inspired by Titian is typical of his inventiveness and his indebtedness to his great contemporaries. In his turn, the Venetian painter Jacopo Bassano (c. 1510-1592) used the faces of two of the listening women in a painting of Christ Bearing the Cross (Alessandro Ballarin, ‘Jacopo Bassano e lo studio di Rafaello e gli Salviati’, Arte Veneta 1967, pp. 77-101, esp. p. 97, figs. 111, 112).
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PROVENANCE:
…; Maximilian Willibald von Waldburg-Wolfegg (1604-1667), Schloss Wolfegg; by descent within the Kunstsammlungen der Fürsten zu Waldburg-Wolfegg (collector’s mark verso Lugt no. 2542); from which to Galleria Stanza del Borgo, Milan; from which purchased by the museum with the support of the F.G. Waller-Fonds, 2018 (inv. no. RP-P-2019-13).
6  Attributed to Battista Franco (Venice c. 1510-1561 Venice) after Michelangelo (Caprese Michelangelo 1475-1564 Rome)  
Night, c. 1535-45  
Engraving, 147 x 255 mm

This engraving, only recently described, is a free representation of Night, one of the four sculptures of personifications of the times of the day that Michelangelo made for the tomb of Giuliano II de’ Medici in the Basilica di San Lorenzo in Florence (c. 1526-31). The print does not appear in catalogues of prints after works by Michelangelo (cf. the recent online catalogue Alessia Alberti (ed.), D’après Michelangelo: La fortuna di Michelangelo nelle stampe del Cinquecento, Venice 2015). There are two other early prints after Michelangelo’s statues in San Lorenzo depicting Dawn and Dusk. They were previously thought to be by Battista Franco, but were later convincingly attributed to an anonymous printmaker from the school of Fontainebleau on the basis of the etching technique and the watermarks (cf. Raphael Rosenberg, ‘The Reproduction and Publication of Michelangelo’s Sacristy: Drawings and Prints by Franco, Salviati, Naldini and Cort’, in Francis Ames-Lewis and Paul Joannides, Reactions to the Master Michelangelo’s Effect on Art and Artists in the Sixteenth Century, Aldershot 2003, pp. 114-36, esp. pp. 115-19, figs. 6.2, 6.3, and Catharine Jenkins, ‘Michelangelo at Fontainebleau’, Print Quarterly 28 (2011), pp. 261-64).

The present work received the same attribution in the catalogue of the sale where it was acquired. Rafael Rosenberg recently proposed Cornelis Bos (c. 1510-1566) as the maker of this acquisition. This Antwerp engraver did indeed make engravings after Italian examples, including the large Leda and the Swan after Michelangelo. However, we do not find Bos’s systematic, typically northern engraving technique in the print after Night. The free handling of the curves of the woman’s nude body, the draperies and the hair does, though, entirely correspond with that in prints by Battista Franco. This Venetian artist became one of the most productive painters in the Cinquecento. In his biography, Giorgio Vasari recounts how the young Franco copied almost all Michelangelo’s works in Rome and Florence, spending days in the Medici chapel. There are seven surviving drawings by Franco after the sculptures of Day, Night and Dawn. They show them from the front, proving that they date from before 1546 when the Times of Day were placed on high sarcophagi. The engraving also shows Night from a frontal viewpoint and is most probably the earliest representation of Michelangelo’s statue in print.

Michelangelo’s Times of Day were criticized as well as admired. Because he had given his personifications so few attributes, many of his contemporaries did not recognize them. The sculptor gave Night no more than an owl and a mask. In painted copies these attributes are often supplemented with lanterns, hour glasses and bats. When Michelangelo came face to face with one of these paintings, so Vasari said, he could not stop laughing. In the engraving Night is accompanied by a sleeping boy. He, along with the abundant drapery, is a relatively modest addition in Franco’s homage to his great example.
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PROVENANCE:
…; sale, Berlin (Galerie Bassenge), 26 May 2016, no. 5121; to the museum with the support of the F.G. Waller-Fonds, 2016 (inv. no. rp-p-2016-687-2).
This sixteenth-century engraving is of two standing skeletons alongside two écorchés, figures whose skins have been stripped off so that their muscles can be seen. Interest in this subject arose in the Renaissance, in part from artistic necessity. Artists were advised to make a thorough study of the way the human musculature worked so that they could render figures with anatomical accuracy. Those who did not perform or attend dissections themselves could use prints in works like Andreas Vesalius’s famous book on human anatomy (1543), but all sorts of other imagery was available. This print would also have served as an example, although the way the subject is shown creates an additional layer of meaning. Beside each skeleton is an écorché in the same pose (in classical contrapposto), two seen from the front, and two from behind. Because one pair looks at the other, it even seems as though they are communicating. The laurel wreath, the headdress of a victor, which the écorché on the left wears on his half-dissected skull, is macabre. Accompanied by the war trophies that lie piled up behind him and his bony companion, the scene takes on a Vanitas significance.

The engraving is signed ‘Domenico Fiorentino’ leaving no doubt who the printmaker was. He is also known as Domenico del Barbiere. This Italian artist is recorded in 1537 and 1539 in the entourage of his fellow countrymen Rosso Fiorentino and Francesco Primaticcio (1504-1570) in Fontainebleau, the French king’s country palace. They made frescos and stucco work there until Rosso’s death in 1540. Domenico del Barbiere may have already been living in nearby Troyes for some time. There he married a Frenchwoman and worked mainly as a sculptor and architect until his death. And, as is obvious, he also engraved prints. Because of his activities as a printmaker in Fontainebleau he was also considered to be a member of the school of Fontainebleau. Catherine Jenkins, in her key publication on prints at the court of Fontainebleau (2017), points out that the paper he used for his works shows that they were usually printed elsewhere. For this reason and because he lived in Troyes, she no longer regards him as a member of the school of Fontainebleau.

The design is attributed to Rosso, with whom Domenico collaborated for a while. In 1568 the artists’ biographer Giorgio Vasari reported that Rosso was working on a publication about anatomy and this led to the later hypothesis that this design may have originated from it. This is quite possible, but there is no further evidence of this project, so it cannot be corroborated. This does not alter the fact that Domenico del Barbiere engraved an impressive and intriguing composition, perhaps to his own design after all.
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PROVENANCE:
…; sale, London (Christie’s), 14 December 2017, no. 86, to the museum with the support of the F.G. Waller-Fonds, 2018 (inv. no. rp-p-2018-567).
‘Ich hatte hellbraune, natürlich gewellte Haare, ein munteres, aufgezwungenes Ansehen, eine wohlgeformte aber nicht sehr große Figur und die Haltung einer Prinzessin.’ (I had light brown, naturally wavy hair, a cheerful, lively appearance, a shapely but not very large figure and the demeanour of a princess.) In her later memoirs Sophia of the Palatinate used these words to describe her appearance when she was young. Born to Frederick V of the Palatinate and Elizabeth Stuart in The Hague, where the family lived in exile, Sophia left in 1650 and went to the court of her brother Charles Louis I in Heidelberg. Some years later, in 1656, Wallerant Vaillant made a portrait print of each of them along with one of their sister Elizabeth. Sophia was then twenty-six. The portrait of Charles Louis I is also in the Rijksmuseum’s Print Room’s collection.

One important reason for commissioning portraits like these, above all of daughters, may have been political; the works of art served as propaganda to improve the reputation of the Electorate and could also be used in the marriage market. Prints were more practical for this than paintings because of their size and because several impressions could be made of them. Sophia herself provided proof of this practice. In her memoirs she told the amusing story of one of her potential marriage partners who, while on his travels, proudly showed a portrait of her to the duke to whom she had meanwhile become engaged.

The family commissioned established artists to create the portraits. Vaillant had already made his name in Amsterdam as a portraitist of the well-to-do middle class when he went to Germany around 1655. He was probably also responsible for the design of this print, as ‘W. Vaillant fec.’ can be seen on another profile portrait by Johann Schweizer (1625-1670) of Sophia in apparently the same dress (Historisches Museum, Hannover). In 1658, while at the court in Heidelberg, Vaillant again immortalized two of Frederick’s children, this time in chalk: another portrait of Elizabeth (Albertina, Vienna) and also one of her brother Rupert (The British Museum, London). Apart from a few details, the drawn portrait of Elizabeth is a mirror image of the etching.

At that time Rupert was working with Vaillant on refining the mezzotint technique, which had been developed a few years previously by Ludwig von Siegen (1609-c. 1680). It is Vaillant’s mezzotints in particular that continue to make him world renowned today, but this stylish portrait shows that he had already succeeded in introducing tonality into his etchings in a refined way. The subtle effect of his handling of line in his etchings and the velvety character of his chalk drawings would eventually come together in his mezzotints.
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**PROVENANCE:**
…; collection of Johann Andreas Boerner (1785-1862), Nuremberg (L. 270); …; collection of Karl Eduard von Liphart (1808-1891; L. 1687); his sale, Leipzig (C.G. Boerner), 5 December 1876 sqq., no. 1694, with four other prints; …; collection of Alfred Morrison (1821-1897; L. 151); …; from the dealer Nicolaas Teeuwisse, ohg, Berlin, to the museum with the support of the F.G. Waller-Fonds, 2018 (inv. no. rp-p-2018-560).
Between 1693 and 1700 the Amsterdam publisher Pieter Mortier completed an impressive project: a fully illustrated publication of the Old and New Testaments. Mortier’s ambition was to produce a complete Bible with illustrations true to the text in a new, contemporary style. The publication would ultimately contain 429 illustrations. There were also thirty oval vignettes (‘finisjes’) and five maps in the New Testament volume. No fewer than nine artists provided designs for the prints, the most famous being Ottmar Elliger, Jan Goeree, Bernard Picart and Jan Luyken. The latter executed his own designs himself; the others were taken care of by ten professional engravers. The artist David van der Plaes (Amsterdam 1647-1704) was employed to supervise the whole thing. His brusque treatment of the other artists involved and his lofty declarations led to conflicts, various slanderous pamphlets and a lawsuit that could not be settled until after his death. Despite the complexity of the project and the setbacks, it eventually saw the light of day in 1700 and became a remarkable success. The subscription price was forty-seven guilders and five stivers for unbound copies on royal paper, and thirty-six guilders for prints on large median paper – huge sums.

The copy acquired by the Rijksmuseum’s Print Room, however, must have cost considerably more. It is a deluxe example with extra coloured plates and vignettes added. The two volumes were bound by the Double Drawer Handle Bindery and decorated with stamps used exclusively for deluxe publications by Mortier’s firm (Jan Storm van Leeuwen, Dutch Decorated Bookbinding in the Eighteenth Century, 4 vols., ’t Goy-Houten 2006, vol. 1, pp. 228-46, pl. 39, vol. 4, pp. 7-8, no. 22). This proves that the combination of the bindings with the coloured prints, vignettes and maps came about on the initiative of the publisher. The volumes contain 540 prints in total in rare, fine impressions and proofs. Of these, forty-five are coloured in just as masterful and subtle a way with the lavish use of gold. This job, on the basis of style, colour, materials and technique can be attributed with great certainty to the Amsterdam artist Dirk Jansz van Santen, the most famous colourist (‘afzetter’) of his time. The first owner of the ensemble was the art collector Willem van Beest. Van Santen’s colouring of his Bible was praised in an advertisement for the sale of his library: ‘t Oude en Nieuwe Testament, en dezelve van Mortier, en veel andere Verbeeldingen, Historien, Emblemataas &c., alle ongemeen konstig en met goud doorwrogt afgezet van den vermaerden Dirk Jansz. van Zanten, nooit so gezien’ (The Old and New Testament, and the same by Mortier, en veel andere Verbeeldingen, Historien, Emblemataas &c., alle ongemeen konstig en met goud doorwrogt afgezet van den vermaerden Dirk Jansz. van Zanten, nooit so gezien) (De Amsterdamsche Courant, 12 February and 3 March 1714; De oprechte Haarlemmer Courant, 14 and 27 February 1714). Van Beest also owned an atlas coloured by Van Santen, and his
brother and sister also collected prints coloured by him. Margerita van Beest, for example, owned the coloured Keur-bijbel which is now one of the highlights of the Special Collections of the University of Amsterdam (Truusje Goedings, ‘Dirk Jansz van Santen’, in ‘Afsetters en meester-afsetters’: De kunst van het kleuren 1480-1720, exh. cat. Amsterdam (Special Collections UvA) 2015, pp. 110-49, esp. pp. 129-39). The Print Room has recently made up a deficit in the field of coloured prints. There were a number of separate sheets by Van Santen in the collection, but until now there were no works by his hand in their original context.
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Cornelis van Noorde is well represented in the Print Room’s collection, so it was not so much the individual prints that made this sheet a welcome addition as the carefully constructed ensemble in conjunction with the note on the verso: ‘De Eerste Beginselen Van de Graveer Kunst Door de Heer C. V. Noorde’. Might the artist have wanted to give an overview of the versatility of his early oeuvre here?

Although training as an artist might not have been the usual career choice for a baker’s son from Haarlem, Van Noorde nevertheless became apprenticed to Frans Decker (1684-1751) and after his death to Taco Hajo Jelgersma (1702-1795), both of whom taught him to make portraits. Lower centre there is a detail from a self-portrait by the Haarlem artist Dirck Helmbreeker (1633-1696). Later, in 1772, Van Noorde reproduced the complete portrait in crayon manner. The drawing, which served as the example for both prints and is now in the Klassik Stiftung Weimar Collection, was at that time very probably in a private collection in Haarlem where Van Noorde was able to study it. The remarkable shape of the sheet, on the other hand, appears to have been Van Noorde’s own invention. The same applies to the atypical shape of the Rembrandtesque print of a farmhouse, printed with brown ink.

Unlike his teachers, Van Noorde also developed a liking for landscape. He was a great admirer of Jan van Goyen (1596-1656) and made drawings and prints in his style. He even adapted his monogram so that it looked more like Van Goyen’s signature. The subject of Van Noorde’s winter landscape with skaters and the narrow, strongly horizontally oriented composition and smooth handling are reminiscent of the seventeenth-century master.

A third important category in his oeuvre consisted of occasional and commercial prints. Van Noorde produced many prints in the seventeens and sixties for the printer Johannes Enschedé, including vignettes, alphabets and book illustrations. Two examples of these are the emblem of the Haarlem publisher Jan Bosch and the trademark of an Amsterdam tobacco dealer. The composition with the adoration of the shepherds was probably intended as a book illustration and is very reminiscent of the work of Jan Luyken, whom Van Noorde also admired. Van Noorde also illustrated a previously unpublished manuscript by Luyken with engravings and had it published, and designed vignettes and plate stamps for the books awarded as prizes by the Haarlemse Stadstekenacademie, where he was a member of the board.

Before he became director of the Print Room, Johan Philip van der Kellen (1831-1906) was a passionate collector of prints by Van Noorde, and it was said that he owned a large part of his oeuvre: 230 works. Through an exchange with Carl Gottfried Voorhelm Schneevoogt (1802-1877) this part of Van der Kellen’s collection found its way into the collection of the North Holland Archives, as did a large album containing more than three hundred stuck-in ‘houtsneden, prenttekeningen en gegraveerde prenten door C. van Noorde’ (woodcuts, print designs and engraved prints by C. van Noorde). Evidently it was Van Noorde himself, or someone in his immediate environment, who was keen to carefully document his legacy for posterity, an approach to which this sheet also seems to testify.

**Provenance:**
…; anonymous sale, Haarlem (Bubb Kuyper), 28 May 2018 sqq., no. 6218, to the museum with the support of the F.G. Waller-Fonds, 2018 (inv. no. rp-p-2018-1603).

---

**10 CORNELIS VAN NOORDE** (Haarlem 1731-1795 Haarlem)

*Collage with Eight Prints, c. 1750-70*

Etchings, pasted on a hand-coloured mount, 396 x 321 mm (sheet)

Inscribed, on the recto: *Cornelis van Noorde/ zie ommezijde!*, on the verso: *De Eerste Beginselen van de Graveerkunst Door de Heer C. v. Noorde*.
In 1759 Franz Edmund Weilotter travelled from Germany to Paris, where he came into contact with the printmaker Johann Georg Wille (1715-1808) and his ‘Teutsche Zeichenschule’ – German drawing school. Wille wanted to offer an alternative to the strict teaching of the French academy and became a pivotal figure for German artists in Paris, acting as their teacher, patron, publisher and dealer. Under his guidance, Weilotter learned to study Dutch masters, but above all to work from nature. The etching needle became his favourite tool, because it allowed him to come close to the spontaneous lines of his drawings.

Every September Wille travelled to Normandy with his protégés to provide them with new inspiration, and Weilotter was one of them. In his memoirs Wille described the purpose of those excursions: ‘Notre objet étoit d’y dessiner le paysage, et nous y trouvâmes, en effet, presque tout digne d’être dessiné, Ce pays est charmant pour cela’ (Our objective was to draw the landscape, and we found almost everything worthy of drawing there. That country is lovely for that). Weilotter made a series of twelve prints of Normandy landscapes, which Wille published. This print in four states is one of the two nocturnal landscapes in the series.

The influence of seventeenth-century Dutch masters is evident. Firstly, the figures, as in the work of Boëtius Adamsz Bolswert (c. 1580-1633) and Esaias van de Velde (1587-1630), for example, are clearly present, at the same time they are subordinate to the composition overall. Weilotter’s romanticized rendering of the rural character of Normandy calls to mind the dilapidated farmhouses by among others Rembrandt (1606-1669) and Herman Saftleven (c. 1609-1685). His work inevitably echoes work by artists like Aert van der Neer (1603-1677): the nocturne, after all, was a genre in which Dutch artists excelled. Weilotter’s technique contributes to the credibility of the nocturnal scene. Similar to the effect of a mezzotint, he worked from dark to light by first treating the plate with etching needle and resin, then polishing away the white parts. In 1763 Weilotter moved from Paris to Rome on Wille’s advice. Their friendship appears to have cooled after that, judging by Wille’s remark about the printmaker’s premature death in 1771: ‘Cela me fait de la peine, quoique son caractère moral ne valût rien; mais il avait du talent’ (It saddens me, though his moral character was worthless; but he had talent).

The group of prints comes from the impressive collection of the Princes of Liechtenstein and fits in seamlessly with the thirty prints by Weilotter in the Print Room’s collection, half of which came from the collection of Pieter Cornelis Baron van Leyden (1717-1788). As a contemporary of Weilotter’s, he had recognized his exceptional craftsmanship: appreciation that has survived to this day.

**Literature:**
Thilo Winterberg, Franz Edmund Weilotter (1733-1771), der Landschaftsradierer: Das grafische Werk, Heidelberg 1998, p. 120, no. 49
Charles Leblanc, Manuel de l’amateur d’estampes, Paris 1890, vol. 4, p. 200, no. 11

**Provenance:**
…; collection of the Princes of Liechtenstein, Vaduz; purchased by the dealer Helmut H. Rumberl, Frankfurt am Main, 1982; from whom purchased by the museum with support of the F.G. Waller-Fonds, 2018 (inv. nos. RP-P-2018-3313 to 3316).
ACQUISITIONS FROM THE F.G. WALLER-FONDS

RP-P-2018-3313 (first state)

RP-P-2018-3316 (fourth state)
In recent years the Print Room has added important prints by Louis Jean Desprez to the collection. Desprez had trained to be an architect at the Académie Royale d’Architecture in Paris. He was taught to etch by Charles-Nicholas Cochin II (1715-1790) and Jacques-François Blondel (1705-1774). As a printmaker, Desprez is known above all for his impressive architecture prints, often with compositions reminiscent of stage sets, for which he was later able to draw on his experience as a theatre designer at the Swedish court. In other cases, Desprez simply coloured line etchings by others, and sometimes he was only responsible for the design and left the execution to his pupils. This spectacular acquisition, however, is all Desprez’s own work, although he seems to have drawn his inspiration from elsewhere; this gruesome scene is an exception, even in Desprez’s highly imaginative oeuvre.

This impression is of exceptionally high quality, and the title and explanation in this fifth state provide context to this puzzling scene; these facts contributed significantly to the decision to acquire this example. Desprez’s free interpretation of the mythical chimera transforms it into a three-headed desert monster hunting for food in front of the dilapidated palace of Mas(s)inissa, the first king of Numidia in North Africa. Evidently its hunger was insatiable because the half-digested carcass of its last victim still protrudes from its ribcage and the ground is littered with animal remains.

The print was announced in L’Avant-Coureur of 4 November 1771 as ‘La Chimere de M. Desprez’, being for sale for eight sols by Pierre Panseron (c. 1736-1804 Stockholm).

Pierre Adrien Paris (1746-1819), they had taken part in a design competition for a church portal. Aside from his work as an architect, Panseron published architectural studies; in 1781 he went on to use the print in this state as an illustration in his review Ouvrage d’architecture des sieurs Desprez et Panseron. Since then the print has become one of the undisputed icons of eighteenth-century French printmaking.

**LITERATURE:**
- Perrin Stein et al., Artists and Amateurs: Etching in 18th-Century France, New York 2013, p. 90, fig. 52
- Ulf Cederlöf et al., La Chimère de Monsieur Desprez, Paris 1994
- Victor Carlson et al., Regency to Empire: French Printmaking 1715-1814, Baltimore 1984, p. 236, no. 80
- Marcel Roux et al., Inventaire du fonds français: Graveurs du dix-huitième siècle, Paris 1951, vol. 7, p. 125, no. 23
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Acquisitions from the F. G. Waller Fonds
James Barry, an artist as ambitious as he was eccentric, was one of the most important print-makers of the eighteenth century. Despite this, it was not until the purchase of two large pages from a Bible a couple of years ago (The Rijksmuseum Bulletin 65 (2017), no. 4, pp. 400-03, nos. 4, 5) that the Rijksmuseum had any examples of his printmaking. For Barry, prints were the ideal means of broadcasting his unconventional political and social opinions. As a committed artist, Catholic and confirmed Republican he met with great animosity in the reactionary England that followed the French Revolution. He is the only professor at the Royal Academy who has ever been dismissed and had his name removed from the membership list.

In the years after his dismissal in 1799, Barry became increasingly disillusioned and isolated. The few visitors to his house at 36 East Castle Street in London described the miserable circumstances in which he lived. The front of the house was covered in grime and in the porch there were corpses of cats and dogs left by neighbours in an attempt to intimidate the peculiar occupant. Barry had masked his smashed window with impressions of his etchings. Inside the situation was not much better, with rooms full of disorganized piles of prints, drawings and casts, and an occupant who, according to an eyewitness, went around dressed in a threadbare jacket: ‘his costume gave the idea of extreme negligence without uncleanliness’. A small group of friends remained loyal to him and the art world had also not forgotten him altogether.

In the spring of 1804, the Royal Society of the Arts asked Barry for a self-portrait because it wanted to make an engraving of it to serve as the frontispiece in an issue of its Transactions. In his reply (2 May 1804), Barry wrote about a self-portrait in mezzotint that he had begun two years earlier, but had still not made any impressions from it because it was not yet ready. This self-portrait, an impression of which was acquired recently, was probably never completed and certainly never published. The bottom margin is unpolished and lacks an inscription in all seven impressions we know of (five in the British Museum, London, one in the National Gallery of Ireland, Dublin, and the recently purchased example). In the remarkably frank portrait, Barry portrayed himself in the traditional pose of a melancholic with his head supported on his hand. It looks as though he has just taken off the pince-nez in his other hand, which is resting on an open book. Attributes and pose suggest that the artist had interrupted himself while reading to cast a penetrating glance in the mirror. His pained expression unmistakably reflects the malaise the about sixty-one-year-old Barry must have had to endure in his final years, but at the same time the virtuoso print shows the extraordinary artist’s unremitting self-awareness and ambition.
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Carl Friedrich Hampe was born and raised in Berlin. In 1788 he was a student of Johann Christoph Frisch (1738-1815) and Johann Gottfried Niedlich (1766-1837) at the Akademie der Kunst, where he was probably mainly instructed in drawing. Prior to 1810 we know of no paintings by Hampe. He was, though, active in the Prussian art world. In 1814 he co-founded the Berlin Art Society; two years later he was accepted as a member of the Kunstakademie, where he climbed further up the managerial ladder.

Hampe was an exponent of German Romanticism. In his work he displayed a preference for historic genre scenes, often of impressive Gothic architecture with everyday figures: a meditating lady, a beggar or murmuring monks. The execution and use of light betray the influence of Carl Friedrich Schinkel (1781-1841) and Caspar David Friedrich (1774-1840).

Hampe was also open to the latest developments in printmaking. In 1798 Alois Senefelder (1771-1834) had discovered how to print from stone. This technique was picked up in Berlin in 1804 by the artist and publisher Wilhelm Reuter (1768-1834). He urged his colleagues to make drawings on stone, which he published under the title ‘Polyautographische Zeichnungen vorzügliche Berliner Künstler’. The objective of the series was to draw attention to the possibilities of the brand-new lithography, as the technique was later known. Artists could now reproduce their drawings without the intervention of a printmaker.

Hampe was one of the artists who worked for Reuter. In 1804 he supplied a ‘Cain and Abel’ (Winkler 292.1), which was executed skilfully but very sketchily. In the pen lithograph he supplied in 1806, he showed what he could do in much more detail. The print is of a wooden footbridge over a mountain stream. A traveller walks over the bridge with a heavy pack on his back. The perspective is cleverly chosen. The walker is only just visible against the sky in the background upper right. To his left there is only forest and the bottom half of the scene is taken up by the waterfall. The shadow cast by the bridge on to the rocks on the left makes it clear that the sun is already low in the sky.

The enormous variety of textures that Hampe managed to suggest is astonishing. He drew the flowing water of the stream with long parallel lines, the rocks beside it with short, thick, jagged ones, and the hills in the background with tiny fine lines constantly varying in direction. The drawing is a sample of all kinds of shading techniques, which together create a varied and superbly legible image. The print, nowadays extremely rare, is one of Hampe’s most important works.
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